```
JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
1
     United States Attorney
2
3
    BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
    Chief, Criminal Division
4
5
     TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225)
    Assistant United States Attorney
6
        450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7
        San Francisco, California 94102
        Telephone:
                     (415) 436-7071
                      (415) 436-7234
8
        Facsimile:
        Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov
9
10
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
11
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
                                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14
15
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                 CR No. 09-70015 EDL
16
17
           Plaintiff,
                                                 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
                                                 CHANGING DATE FOR ARRAIGNMENT
                                                 AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER FED. R.
18
        v.
                                                 CRIM. P. 5.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3161
     VINCENT MERTES,
19
20
           Defendant.
21
           On March 13, 2009, the parties in this case requested via written stipulation, and the
22
    Court agreed, to set the date for the defendant's preliminary hearing or arraignment on April 9,
23
24
    2009. The parties now request that the Court change the date for the defendant's preliminary
    hearing or arraignment to April 23, 2009. The parties also request that pursuant to Federal Rule
25
    of Criminal Procedure ("FRCP") 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be extended
26
    through April 23, 2009. The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt
27
    disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension.
28
    STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME
                                                                                            1
    CR 09-70015 EDL
```


1	The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable
2	under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the
3	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. §
4	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a
5	continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
6	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
7	SO STIPULATED:
8 9	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney
10	DATED: A will 9, 2000
11	DATED: April 8, 2009 TAREK J. HELOU A spirit of States Atternacy
12	Assistant United States Attorney
13	DATED: April 8, 2009 /s/
14	ANTHONY BRASS Attorney for VINCENT MERTES
15	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the extension of time for the
16	defendant's preliminary hearing or arraignment through April 23, 2009 is warranted and that the
17	ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
18	defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(8)(A); FED. R. CRIM. P. 5.1(d). The failure to
19	grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and
20	would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
21	
22	SO ORDERED.
23	
24	DATED: April 8, 2009 Sunnel James
25	THE HONORAGLY BERNARD ZIMMERMAN United States Magistrate Judge
26	
27	
28	